



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,590	01/16/2004	John Edward Ramsey	HEND-AS	8000
24120	7590	12/12/2005	EXAMINER	
DAVID P DURESKA BUCKINGHAM DOOLITTLE & BURROUGHS, LLP 4518 FULTON DRIVE, NW P O BOX 35548 CANTON, OH 44735-5548			SPISICH, GEORGE D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/759,590

Applicant(s)

RAMSEY, JOHN EDWARD

Examiner

George D. Spisich

Art Unit

3616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)            |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/16/04 &amp; 5/9/05</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 1 and throughout the claims, there is claimed an "axle/suspension". The "/" is unclear. The arrangement should be claimed without a "/", such as "suspension arrangement including an axle.....".

Claim 6, lines 1-3 are unclear. It is unclear to claim that the bolt movement means is the bolt. Also, it is unclear to claim the bolt movement means is a "vertical" bolt. In line 3 and 4, there is claimed "said pivot bolt". Although in claim 1, there is claimed a pivotal mounting means that includes a bolt, a pivoting bolt has not been previously claimed by the phrase in Claim 1 and therefore "said pivot bolt" lacks antecedent basis in Claim 6.

Examiner is unclear if there is a second bolt in Applicant's invention as there appears to be claimed in Claim 6. Furthermore, the phrase "vertically disposed" means the bolt shaft is vertical. If Applicant intends to claim that the bolt is able to be vertically adjusted, Examiner suggests not using the term "disposed".

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in Figures 1-4B in view of Lundin et al. (USPN 4,614,359).

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) shows a suspension arrangement including an axle for a trailer of a tractor-trailer combination, the trailer including a floor mounted on a longitudinally extending frame of the trailer (inherent), the suspension arrangement includes a pair of transversely spaced suspension assemblies, each one of the suspension assemblies includes a longitudinally extending beam, the beams capturing a transversely extending axle, means for pivotally mounting each one of the beams on a bracket mounted on and depending from the trailer frame, the pivotal means including a bolt passing through aligned openings formed in a pair of transversely-spaced inboard and outboard sidewalls of the bracket and being secured thereto with a nut.

AAPA discloses an eccentric collar through which the bolt passes. There are guide tabs that extended outboardly from the outboard sidewall, and the opening are oval-shaped. The bolt and the tabs allow for the adjustment of the axle. The bracket is

Art Unit: 3616

a generally U-shaped integral one-piece member having a pair of transversely spaced sidewalls interconnected with a sidewall.

AAPA discloses a generally U-shaped integral one-piece bracket having a pair of transversely spaced sidewalls interconnected with a wall. The wall of AAPA is arranged to the rear, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reverse the orientation of the axle beam and bracket direction, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167.

Examiner interprets AAPA to have all the elements of Applicant's invention, however, Applicant's invention rotates AAPA so as to have a vertical orientation of the openings and vertically spaced and longitudinally extending guide tabs that allow for the vertical adjustment of the bolt to allow for the leveling of the trailer floor.

Lundin et al. disclose a suspension arrangement (as best seen in Figures 3-5) that show a height adjustable suspension arm mount or bracket having a vertical oval shaped opening (64) and a bolt (66) disposed in the opening and an eccentric collar (or washes) (74) that (see col. 4, lines 19-42) by rotating the bolt and due to the eccentricity of the washers/collars the suspension is raised or lowered. It is disclosed that this height adjustment is used to also effect the trim (which is the level attitude) of the vehicle.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the AAPA suspension and axle arrangement by not only reversing the bracket and axle arrangement as discussed above, but allowing for a

Art Unit: 3616

vertical adjustment as taught by Lundin et al. and rotating the arrangement already disclosed in the AAPA so as to provide such an adjustment for transversely horizontally leveling a trailer floor.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in Figures 1-4B in view of AU 657128 (provided in Applicant's IDS).

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) has been discussed in the prior rejection.

Examiner interprets AAPA to have all the elements of Applicant's invention, however, Applicant's invention rotates AAPA so as to have a vertical orientation of the openings and vertically spaced and longitudinally extending guide tabs that allow for the vertical adjustment of the bolt to allow for the leveling of the trailer floor and reverses the orientation of the bracket and longitudinally extending arms.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reverse the orientation of the axle beam and bracket direction, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167.

AU '128 discloses a suspension arrangement having plural longitudinal suspension arms (18,19). The outer arm has a horizontal adjustment similar to the AAPA. The inner arm has a vertical adjustment. AU '128 teaches the adjustment of both horizontal and vertical adjustment and the ability to "rotate" one (a horizontal) adjustment feature to provide for vertical adjustment.

Art Unit: 3616

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to not only reverse the orientation of the mounting bracket and axle as addressed above, but also to rotate the horizontal opening and guide tab adjustment arrangement of AAPA so as to be vertical as taught by AU '128 so as to provide vertical adjustment of a suspension element. This adjustment would allow in operation the transverse horizontal leveling of a trailer floor such in AAPA.

### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ramsey (USPN 6,416,069), Vandenberg (USPN 5,690,353), Raidel (USPN 5,002,305), Dilling et al. (USPN 5,366,237), Landers (USPN 5,253,884), Maeda (USPN 4,349,735), Lee (USPN 5,374,075).


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George D. Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-6676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 to 6:30 except alt. Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George D. Spisich  
December 6, 2005



PAUL N. DICKSON  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600